

Coordination of hamstrings is individual specific and is related to motor performance

Simon Avrillon, Gaël Guilhem, Aude Barthelemy, François Hug

▶ To cite this version:

Simon Avrillon, Gaël Guilhem, Aude Barthelemy, François Hug. Coordination of hamstrings is individual specific and is related to motor performance. Journal of Applied Physiology, 2018, 125 (4), pp.1069-1079. 10.1152/japplphysiol.00133.2018 . hal-02983807

HAL Id: hal-02983807 https://insep.hal.science//hal-02983807

Submitted on 30 Oct 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Coordination of hamstrings is individual-specific and is related to motor
2	performance
3	Simon AVRILLON ¹ , Gaël GUILHEM ^{1*} , Aude BARTHELEMY ¹ , François HUG ^{2,3,4}
4	
5	¹ French Institute of Sport (INSEP), Research Department, Laboratory Sport, Expertise and
6	Performance (EA 7370) Paris, France
7	² University of Nantes, Faculty of Sport Sciences, Laboratory Movement, Interactions,
8	Performance (EA 4334), Nantes, France
9	³ Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), Paris, France
10	⁴ The University of Queensland, Centre for Clinical Research Excellence in Spinal Pain,
11	Injury and Health, School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Brisbane, Australia
12	
13	*Correspondence and reprints:
14	Gaël Guilhem, PhD
15	Institut National du Sport, de l'Expertise et de la Performance
16	Département de la Recherche
17	Laboratoire Sport, Expertise et Performance (EA 7370)
18	11, avenue du Tremblay
19	/SU12 Paris
20 21	$T_{all} + 33 (0)1 / 1 7 / / 3 36$
21	Fax: $+33(0)141754535$
23	e-mail: gael.guilhem@insep.fr
24	
25	Running title: Hamstring coordination and performance
20	

27 ABSTRACT (247 words)

28 The torque sharing strategies between synergist muscles may have important functional consequences. This study involved two experiments. The first experiment (n=22) aimed: i) to 29 30 determine the relationship between the distribution of activation and the distribution of torque-generating capacity among the heads of the hamstring, and ii) to describe individual 31 32 torque-sharing strategies and to determine whether these strategies are similar between legs. 33 The second experiment (n=35) aimed to determine whether the distribution of activation between the muscle heads affects the endurance performance during a sustained submaximal 34 35 knee flexion task. Surface electromyography (EMG) was recorded from biceps femoris (BF), 36 semimembranosus (SM), and semitendinosus (ST) during submaximal isometric knee 37 flexions. Torque-generating capacity was estimated by measuring muscle volume, fascicle 38 length, pennation angle and moment arm. The product of the normalized EMG amplitude and 39 the torque-generating capacity was used as an index of muscle torque. The distributions of 40 muscle activation and of torque-generating capacity were not correlated significantly (all 41 P>0.18). Thus, there was a torque imbalance between the muscle heads (ST torque > BF and 42 SM torque; P < 0.001), the magnitude of which varied greatly between participants. A significant negative correlation was observed between the imbalance of activation across the 43 44 hamstring muscles and the time to exhaustion (P < 0.001), i.e. the larger the imbalance of activation across muscles, the lower the muscle endurance performance. Torque-sharing 45 strategies between the heads of the hamstrings are individual-specific and related to muscle 46 47 endurance performance. Whether these individual strategies play a role in hamstring injury remains to be determined. 48

49

50 NEW & NOTEWORTHY:

51	•	The distribution of activation among the heads of the hamstring is not related to the
52		distribution of torque-generating capacity.

- The torque-sharing strategies within hamstring muscles vary greatly between
 individuals but are similar between legs.
- Hamstring coordination affects endurance performance; i.e. the larger the imbalance
 of activation across the muscle heads, the lower the muscle endurance.
- 57

58 KEYWORDS:

- 59 Hamstring; Individual coordination; Muscle torque; Muscle endurance
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64 65

Downloaded from www.physiology.org/journal/jappl by \${individualUser.givenNames} \${individualUser.surname} (109.007.033.126) on August 30, 2018. Copyright © 2018 American Physiological Society. All rights reserved.

66 1. INTRODUCTION

Muscle coordination is defined as the distribution of muscle force/torque (rather than just activation) among individual muscles to produce a given motor task (15). As the number of muscles exceeds the dimensionality of most tasks, many different coordination strategies are *theoretically possible* to achieve the same goal. In this way, Hug and Tucker (15) hypothesized that each individual has unique muscle coordination strategies that will have specific mechanical effects on his/her musculoskeletal system. To test this hypothesis, it is necessary to consider the torque produced by individual muscles instead of activation alone.

74 Muscle torque depends on both the muscle activation and several biomechanical factors (e.g., 75 physiological cross-sectional area [PCSA], specific tension, moment arm, and force-length 76 and force-velocity relationships). When considering muscles with similar specific tension 77 during an isometric task, an index of torque can be estimated from the muscle activation, 78 PCSA and moment arm. Using this approach, Hug et al. (14) highlighted large interindividual 79 differences in the balance of force between the vastus lateralis (VL) and the vastus medialis 80 (VM). Specifically, during an isometric knee extension at 20% of the maximal voluntary 81 contraction (MVC), the VL/VM ratio of the index of force ranged from 38.4 to 84.0%. This variability resulted from individual differences in both muscle activation and PCSA. 82

83 The balance of torque between synergist muscles may have important functional consequences. For example, using an indirect index of muscle activity (functional Magnetic 84 Resonance Imaging [fMRI]), Schuermans et al. (34, 35) highlighted the possible role of 85 86 altered coordination between the heads of the hamstring in risk of injury. Interestingly, a large inter-individual variability in PCSA of individual heads of the hamstring has been observed 87 88 both in cadavers (coefficient of variation [CV]: 33.3% [biceps femoris short head, BFsh] to 42.4% [biceps femoris long head, BFlh] (42)) and active people (CV: 40.6% for BFlh (36)). It 89 is unknown whether muscle activation and/or muscle moment arm counteract these individual 90

91 differences in PCSA such that the distribution of torque among the muscle heads is similar92 between individuals.

93 In addition to their possible role in the risk of injury, muscle coordination strategies might 94 impact muscle performance, as was suggested indirectly by Schuermans et al. (34, 35). Let us 95 consider two synergist muscles (A and B) during a sustained isometric task at 20% MVC maintained until exhaustion. During such a task, the muscle endurance performance can be 96 97 estimated by the time to exhaustion (16). There are two scenarios for the distribution of activation during this task: i) A and B are both activated at about 20% of their maximal 98 99 activation, i.e. activation is equally shared between muscles, or ii) there is an imbalanced 100 activation between A and B, in which case one muscle will necessarily be activated at a higher level than that requested by the task, e.g., A is activated at 30% and B at 10%. In the 101 102 latter case, and in the absence of substantial change in activation strategy during the task, it is 103 likely that muscle A would develop fatigue earlier and this, in turn, would lead to a shorter 104 time to exhaustion, reflective of lower performance.

105 This study involved two experiments. The aims of the first experiment were: i) to determine 106 the relationship between the distribution of activation and the distribution of torque-107 generating capacity among the heads of the hamstring, and ii) to describe individual torque-108 sharing strategies and to determine whether these strategies are similar between legs. To 109 address these two aims, muscle activation was estimated using electromyography (EMG) 110 during submaximal isometric knee flexion tasks at 20% of MVC. The between-day reliability 111 of the activation distribution between the muscle heads was first assessed to test the 112 robustness of the activation strategies. Muscle torque-generating capacity was estimated by measurements of the muscle PCSA and moment arm. The second experiment aimed to 113 determine whether the distribution of activation between the muscle heads affects the 114 endurance performance during a sustained submaximal task. 115

116 **2. METHODS**

117 2.1. Participants

Twenty-two healthy volunteers (age 24 ± 2 yr, weight 65 ± 10 kg, height 173 ± 8 cm; 11 females/11 males) participated in experiment I. In addition to these 22 participants, 13 additional participants were included in experiment II such that a total of 35 healthy volunteers participated in experiment II (age 24 ± 3 yr, weight 66 ± 11 kg, height 173 ± 9 cm; 16 females/19 males). Participants had no history of hamstring strain injury. The experimental procedures were approved by the local ethics committee (approval reference no. 3418, RCB no. 2016-A00715-46), and all procedures adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki.

125

126 2.2. Assessment of muscle activation

127 2.2.1. Experimental setup

128 For experiment I, participants attended two identical testing sessions to assess the between-129 day reliability of the activation of the hamstring muscles (i.e., semitendinosus [ST], 130 semimembranosus [SM], BFlh). Participants sat on an isokinetic dynamometer (Con-trex, 131 CMV AG, Dübendorf, Switzerland) with non-compliant straps placed around the chest, the pelvis and the thigh. The hip and the knee were flexed at angles of 90° and 45°, respectively 132 $(0^{\circ} = neutral position for the hip and full extension for the knee)$. The 45° angle for the knee 133 134 was chosen because it represents the angle at which the maximal knee flexion torque can be 135 generated, i.e. the optimal angle (19). Visual feedback of the exerted torque signal was provided to the participant on a screen. The experimental setup used for experiment II was 136 137 identical to that described above.

138

139 2.2.2. Mechanical data

The torque signal from the isokinetic dynamometer was recorded and digitized by a USB data
acquisition module (DT9804; Data Translation, Marlboro, MA, USA) at 1000 Hz. Torque
was corrected for gravity and low-pass filtered at 20 Hz using a third-order Butterworth filter.

143

144 2.2.3. Surface electromyography

145 Myoelectric activity was recorded bilaterally with surface electrodes placed over the 146 semitendinosus (ST), semimembranosus (SM) and biceps femoris (BF). Participants were 147 seated on a customized piece of foam with a free space beneath each muscle to ensure that 148 there was no contact between the electrodes and the seat. We used B-mode ultrasound 149 (Aixplorer v11, Supersonic Imagine, Aix-en-Provence, France) to determine the appropriate placement for the ST, SM, and BF electrodes, longitudinally with respect to the muscle 150 151 fascicle's alignment and away from the borders of the neighboring muscles. The superficial 152 part of the BFsh is close to the popliteal fossa, so it was not possible to investigate this 153 muscle. Therefore, we followed the SENIAM recommendation for electrode placement on the 154 BF and considered the recorded myoelectrical activity originating from this pair of electrodes 155 as being representative of both the short and the long heads (10). The skin was shaved and then cleaned with alcohol, and a pair of Ag/AgCl electrodes (Blue sensor N-00-S, Ambu, 156 157 Copenhagen, Denmark) was attached to the skin with an inter-electrode distance of 20 mm 158 (center-to-center). Raw EMG signals were pre-amplified 1000 times, band-pass filtered (10-159 500 Hz, third-order Butterworth filter) and sampled at 2000 Hz (Zerowire, Aurion, Milan, 160 Italy). EMG and mechanical data were synchronized using a transistor-transistor logic pulse.

161

162 2.2.4. Experimental tasks

After a standardized warm-up (10 and 5 isometric contractions at 50% and 80% of maximal
perceived intensity, respectively; 2 s hold and 2 s rest), participants performed three maximal

voluntary knee flexions. Each contraction was maintained for 3 to 5 s; contractions were 165 166 separated by a 120-s rest period. The maximal value obtained from a moving average window of 300 ms was considered as the peak flexion torque. Using visual feedback, participants then 167 168 performed three 10-s isometric knee flexions at 20% of MVC torque separated by 30 s of rest. 169 *Experiment I* stopped at this point, but *experiment II* included a second part in which the time 170 to exhaustion was measured. Specifically, after a 5-min rest period, participants performed an 171 isometric knee flexion at 20% of MVC torque until task failure. They were strongly 172 encouraged to maintain the torque as long as possible. Task failure was defined as the moment when the torque they produced decreased by more than 5% of the target level for at 173 174 least 3 s. This task was performed with each leg, in a randomized order and separated by 5 min of rest. 175

176

177 2.2.5. Data processing

178 All mechanical and EMG data were analyzed using MATLAB (R2017a, The Mathworks, 179 Nathick, MA, USA). To determine the maximal EMG amplitude, the Root Mean Square 180 (RMS) of the EMG signal was calculated over a moving time window of 300 ms and the maximal value was considered as the maximal activation level. During the submaximal 181 182 isometric knee flexions, the RMS EMG amplitude was calculated over 5 s at the time period 183 corresponding to the lowest standard deviation of the torque signal. Then, this value was 184 normalized to that measured during the MVC tasks. The ratio of activation between the hamstring muscles was calculated as the normalized RMS EMG of the considered muscle 185 186 divided by the sum of normalized RMS EMG values of all three muscles.

187

188 2.3. Estimation of torque-generating capacity

189 2.3.1. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

190 Both muscle volume and moment arm were estimated through Magnetic Resonance Imaging 191 (MRI; 1.5 T, Intera Achieva, Philips, Amsterdam, Netherlands). Participants were first placed in supine position with their knees flexed at 45°, i.e., the same knee angle as that used for the 192 193 experimental tasks. Flexible surface coils (SENSE, Philips, Amsterdam, Netherlands) were strapped to the medial and lateral sides of the knee. A volumetric sequence (3D T1 fast field 194 195 echo, 5.17 min, FOV 250 mm \times 179 mm, TR/TE = 24/11.5 ms, voxel size: $1 \times 1 \times 2$ mm, flip 196 angle: 50°) allowed an anatomical zone from half of the femur to half of the tibia to be 197 imaged. This sequence was used to measure the moment arm. For each muscle, the knee 198 flexion moment arm was defined as the shortest distance between the rotation center of the 199 knee joint and the line of action of the considered muscle. To begin, the femoral condyle was segmented manually using 3D medical image processing software (Mimics, Materialise, 200 201 Leuven, Belgium). The 3D coordinates of the lateral and medial femoral epicondyles were 202 determined, and the center of the joint was calculated as the midpoint between these two 203 points (5). Then, the distal part of the hamstring muscle-tendon unit (ST, SM, BF) was 204 outlined (Fig. 1A). The centroid of the axial slices was calculated to reconstruct a line passing 205 through, i.e. the musculotendon path. Finally, the perpendicular distance between the center of the joint and the musculotendon path was considered to be the moment arm. Due to their 206 207 anatomical convergence, the distal tendon of the BFsh cannot be distinguished accurately 208 from that of the BFlh (44). Consequently, we considered one common moment arm for both 209 muscle heads, as has been done in other anatomical studies (37, 41). 210

A second acquisition sequence was dedicated to the measurement of the muscle volume. The participants were in a supine position, lying with their hips and knees fully extended. A spine coil (15 elements, SENSE, Philips) was placed under the pelvis and lower limbs to perform a volumetric sequence (3D T1 turbo fast field echo, 13.10 min, FOV 360 mm × 220 mm, TR/TE = 14/6.9 ms, voxel size: $0.8 \times 0.8 \times 2$ mm, flip angle: 20°). Slice thickness was 2 mm without an inter-slice gap. Contiguous MR images were acquired from the iliac crest to half of
the tibia to get images the hamstring heads (ST, SM, BFlh and BFsh), between their proximal
and distal insertions. MR images of the ST, SM, BFlh and BFsh were segmented manually
(Fig. 1B)

- 219
- 220 2.3.2. B-mode ultrasound

221 The field of view of the ultrasound transducer was too narrow (i.e., 42 mm) to image entire 222 hamstring fascicles, which are typically longer than 12 cm for BFlh (44). To overcome this 223 technical limitation, we used the built-in panoramic mode of the ultrasound device (Aixplorer 224 V11, Supersonic Imagine). This mode uses an algorithm that fits a series of images, allowing 225 the entire fascicles to be scanned within one continuous scan. The advantage of this approach 226 over classical measurements from one B-mode image is that it does not require extrapolating 227 the non-visible part of the fascicle (1). Participants were lying with the same hip and knee 228 angles that were used during the submaximal task. The leg was attached to the dynamometer 229 arm with a non-compliant strap. An ultrasound transducer (2-10 MHz, SL10-2, Supersonic 230 Imagine, Aix-en-Provence, France) was placed over the muscle of interest and cross-sectional images were acquired in the longitudinal plane of the muscle to determine the path of the 231 232 transducer. Scans began at the proximal insertion following the fascicle's plane in such a way 233 that superficial and deep aponeuroses were visible. Scans progressed along the midline of the 234 muscle until reaching the distal portion, at approximately at 90% of the femur length. The 235 total scan time was 10 to 15 s. This was repeated for each muscle until two images with 236 visible fascicles were obtained (Fig. 1C). A segmented line (with a spline fit) was used to 237 model the fascicle and measure its length (ImageJ v1.48, National Institutes of Health, 238 Bethesda, MD, USA). For the SM and BFlh, one fascicle was measured distally, medially, 239 and proximally. The pennation angle was measured as the angle between the deep

aponeurosis and the fascicle. Then, the three values were averaged to get a representative value for the entire muscle. As the BFsh images were shorter, one or two fascicles were measured for each participant. The ST muscle is fusiform, and, therefore, its fascicle length was considered to be the distance between the distal and proximal insertions as determined using the MR images.

245

246 2.3.3. Estimation of torque-generating capacity

247 PCSA of SM, BFlh and BFsh was calculated as follows (22):

$$PCSA = \frac{Muscle volume}{Fascicle length} \times cosine(Pennation angle)$$

where PCSA is expressed in cm^2 , muscle volume in cm^3 , and fascicle length in cm. Note that 248 the fascicle length and pennation angle were assessed near the isometric optimal knee angle 249 $(45^\circ; 0^\circ = \text{full extension of the knee})$. As ST muscle is fusiform, its PCSA was calculated as 250 251 the ratio of the volume of the muscle to its length. For the head of each muscle, the product of 252 PCSA with the moment arm (m) was considered as an index of torque-generating capacity. 253 The ratio of torque-generating capacity between the hamstring muscles was calculated as the torque-generating capacity of the considered muscle divided by the sum of the torque-254 255 generating capacity of all three muscles.

256

257 2.4. Estimation of an index of muscle torque

During an isometric contraction, the difference of force produced by synergist muscles depends mainly on their PCSA, their activation, and their specific tension. Because hamstring muscles have similar fiber-types composition (9), and because the contractions were performed at low intensity during which it was likely that only slow fibers were recruited, specific tension was not expected to vary greatly between the muscle heads. Therefore, an index of muscle torque was calculated as follows: Index of muscle torque = $PCSA \times moment arm \times normalized RMS EMG$

where the index of muscle torque is expressed in arbitrary units (au), PCSA in cm^2 , moment arm in m and normalized RMS EMG in percentage of RMS EMG_{max}. As was done for EMG and PCSA, we calculated the ratios of torque, i.e. BF/Hams, ST/Hams, and SM/Hams.

268

264

269 2.5. <u>Statistics</u>

270 Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica (v8, Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). Distributions consistently passed the Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality test, and all data are 271 272 reported as mean \pm SD. The intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) and the typical error 273 were calculated to determine the robustness of EMG data between the testing sessions. EMG 274 amplitude were compared for muscles and legs using separate repeated-measures ANOVAs (within-subject factors: leg [dominant, non-dominant] and muscle [ST, SM, BF]). Fascicle 275 276 length, pennation angle, muscle volume, moment arm and torque-generating capacity were 277 compared for muscles and legs using separate repeated-measures ANOVAs (within-subject 278 factors: leg [dominant, non-dominant] and muscle [ST, SM, BFsh, BFlh]). When the 279 sphericity assumption was violated in repeated ANOVAs (Mauchly's test), the Geisser-Greenhouse correction was used. When appropriate, post-hoc analyses were performed using 280 Bonferroni tests. 281

To address the first aim (*experiment I*), the relationship between the distribution of activation and the distribution of torque-generating capacity among the heads of the hamstring was assessed using Pearson's correlation coefficient. To address the second aim (*experiment I*), we compared each torque ratio (ST/Hams, SM/Hams, BF/Hams) for legs using a paired *t*-test. Also, we tested the correlation between sides using Pearson's correlation coefficient. To address the third aim (*experiment II*), we first tested whether activation strategies changed during the sustained contraction by assessing the variability of the ratios of activation during the fatiguing task using both the coefficient of variation and the typical error. The imbalance of the activation among the three muscle heads was assessed by calculating the standard deviation of their RMS EMG amplitude measured during the 10-s submaximal knee flexion tasks; i.e., the higher the standard deviation, the larger the activation difference between the muscles. The level of significance was set at P < 0.05. We report a correlation of 0.5 as large, 0.3 as moderate, and 0.1 as small (7).

295

296 **3. RESULTS**

297 3.1. <u>Experiment I</u>

The torque measured during the maximal isometric knee flexion tasks was significantly higher for the dominant leg than for the non-dominant leg. The values averaged between the two sessions were 98.1 ± 33.2 Nm and 88.5 ± 29.4 Nm (P < 0.001).

301

302 3.1.1. Muscle activation

303 The between-day reliability of the normalized EMG amplitude measured during the 304 submaximal isometric contraction at 20% MVC was fair to excellent (Table 1). ICC values 305 ranged from 0.45 to 0.85 and the typical error ranged from 2.2% to 3.2% of RMS EMG_{max}. 306 Although the reliability was good for both legs, activation of the muscles in the dominant leg 307 appeared to be slightly more reliable (Table 1). For the specific purpose of this article, we 308 focused on the distribution of activation among the muscle heads by calculating the activation 309 ratios, i.e. BF/Hams, ST/Hams, and SM/Hams. The inter-day reliability was good to excellent 310 for all of these ratios except for the ST/Hams ratio for the non-dominant leg, which exhibited 311 an ICC value slightly lower than 0.60 (Table 2). ICC values ranged from 0.57 to 0.88, and the 312 typical error ranged from 2.7% to 5.6%. Considering this overall good reliability, EMG data 313 were averaged between days for further analysis.

No differences in activation ratio were observed between legs (P = 0.34, P = 0.83, and P = 0.99 for BF/Hams, SM/Hams, and ST/Hams, respectively). The similarity was further confirmed by the large correlation coefficients between legs (r = 0.75 [P < 0.001], 0.55 [P = 0.008], and 0.68 [P < 0.001] for BF/Hams, SM/Hams, and ST/Hams, respectively). Notably, the activation ratios were highly variable between participants (Fig. 2A). For example, when considering the dominant leg, the ratio of activation ranged from 19.9 to 48.1% for BF/Hams, 320 from 23.7 to 56.8% for SM/Hams and from 17.0 to 43.4% for ST/Hams.

321

322 3.1.2. Torque-generating capacity

323 Architectural characteristics of each head of the hamstring muscle and the associated statistics are presented in Table 3. For the sake of clarity, we only report the statistics associated with 324 325 torque-generating capacity, which relates to the first aim of this study. There was no main 326 effect of the leg (P = 0.73) or the leg \times muscle interaction (P = 0.50) on the torque-generating 327 capacity. The absence of differences among the legs was further confirmed by the moderate to 328 large correlation coefficients (r = 0.39 [P = 0.07], 0.44 [P = 0.038], and 0.72 [P < 0.001] for 329 BF/Hams, SM/Hams, and ST/Hams, respectively). However, we observed a main effect of muscle (P < 0.001). More precisely, the torque-generating capacity of ST was significantly 330 lower than that of BF (-41.3 \pm 18.2%; P < 0.001) and SM (-44.3 \pm 17.3%; P < 0.001). As 331 332 reported for the ratios of activation, a large variability between individuals was observed (Fig. 2B). When considering the dominant leg, the ratio of torque-generating capacity ranged from 333 334 30.0 to 46.9% for BF/Hams, from 32.9 to 50.6% for SM/Hams, and from 11.9 to 34.6% for 335 ST/Hams.

336

337 3.1.3. Relationship between activation and torque-generating capacity

338 There was no significant correlation between the ratio of muscle activation and the ratio of 339 torque-generating capacity, regardless of the muscle and the leg that were considered (dominant leg: r = 0.20 [P = 0.36], r = 0.03 [P = 0.90] and r = -0.31 [P = 0.16] for BF/Hams, 340 SM/Hams and ST/Hams, respectively; non-dominant leg: r = 0.00 [P = 0.99], r = -0.02 [P =341 0.91] and r = -0.14 [P = 0.54] for BF/Hams, SM/Hams and ST/Hams, respectively). These 342 343 results indicate that the imbalance of torque-generating capacity observed between the muscle 344 heads was neither counteracted nor accentuated by activation. This contributed to an imbalance of the torque index, the magnitude of which varied greatly between participants. 345

346

347 3.1.4. Torque-sharing strategies

When considering the torque index, which was calculated as the product of torque-generating 348 349 capacity and the normalized EMG amplitude measured during the submaximal knee flexion, 350 there was neither a main effect of the leg (P = 0.36) nor the muscle \times leg interaction (P =351 0.51). However, a main effect of muscle was found (P < 0.001). Specifically, the torque index 352 of ST (7.0 \pm 2.8 [au]) was lower than that of BF (13.7 \pm 6.4; P < 0.001) and SM (16.1 \pm 6.1; P 353 < 0.001). There were no significant differences between BF and SM (P = 0.19). When considering the dominant leg, the torque index ratio reached 18.5 \pm 6.8% for ST/Hams, 37.7 \pm 354 10.1% for BF/Hams, and 43.8 ± 9.9% for SM/Hams (Fig. 3A). There was a significant 355 356 correlation between the torque index ratios of the dominant and non-dominant legs for 357 ST/Hams (r = 0.63 [P = 0.002]), BF/Hams (r = 0.60 [P = 0.003]) and SM/Hams (r = 0.48 [P= 0.025]) (Fig. 3B). The group distribution of the torque index ratios displayed in Fig. 3 358 359 reveals a large inter-individual variability.

360

361 3.2. Experiment II

362	When considering the dominant leg, the coefficient of variation of the activation ratios
363	measured during the limit time to exhaustion was low, i.e., 9.4% for ST/Hams, 9.5% for
364	SM/Hams, and 11.4% for BF/Hams. The typical error (TE) was 5.7% for ST/Hams, 4.5% for
365	SM/Hams, and 5.1% for BF/Hams. Similar values were reported for the non-dominant leg
366	(CV: 7.4%, 10.6%, and 12.8%; TE: 2.9%, 6.3%, and 6.9% for ST/Hams, SM/Hams and
367	BF/Hams, respectively). Therefore, we concluded that the activation strategies did not change
368	drastically during the sustained submaximal contraction. The mean standard deviation of the
369	RMS EMG amplitude measured during the 10-s contractions that preceded the sustained
370	contraction was 3.2 \pm 1.9% of RMS EMG_{max} (range: 0.4–8.3%) and 3.1 \pm 1.6% of RMS
371	EMG _{max} (range: 0.6–7.2%) for the dominant and non-dominant legs, respectively. Participants
372	maintained the targeted torque for 466 ± 190 s (range: 195–1037 s) with their dominant legs
373	and for 473 ± 210 s (range: 163–1260 s) with their non-dominant legs. There was a significant
374	negative correlation between the standard deviation values and the time to exhaustion ($r = -$
375	0.52 $[P < 0.001]$ and $r = -0.42$ $[P = 0.011]$ for the dominant and non-dominant legs,
376	respectively) (Fig. 4). These correlations indicate that the larger the activation difference
377	between the muscle heads, the shorter the time to exhaustion.

378 4. DISCUSSION

379 Three novel findings resulted from this study. First, there was no correlation between the 380 distribution of activation between the heads of the hamstring and the distribution of torque-381 generating capacity. Secondly, the torque-sharing strategy varied greatly between participants but it was similar for the participants' two legs. Thirdly, the larger the imbalance of activation 382 383 across the muscle heads, the lower the muscle endurance. These results provide a deeper 384 understanding of the interplay between the activation a muscle receives and its mechanical 385 characteristics. By demonstrating a relationship between activation strategies and endurance 386 performance, our findings also provide the foundation for future work to explore the roles of 387 different muscle coordination strategies in motor performance. These results may have 388 clinical relevance as they provide a basis for considering muscle coordination strategies as an 389 intrinsic risk factor for hamstring strain injury.

390

391 4.1. Individual muscle activation strategies

392 When the entire sample of participants was considered, the activation ratios were similar for 393 different muscles, which might lead to the conclusion that the activation is shared roughly 394 equally among the three heads. However, inspection of the data for individual participants 395 revealed a large variability, as illustrated by the SM/Hams ratio, which ranged from 23.7% to 396 56.8% (Fig. 2A). Large individual differences in EMG amplitude ratio already have been 397 reported for knee extensors (14) and ankle plantar flexors (21). For example, Hug et al. (14) reported a VL/VM activation ratio ranging from 33.6 to 74.7% during an isometric knee 398 399 extension task performed at 20% of MVC, with an almost equal number of participants 400 demonstrating either greater VL activation or greater VM activation. Overall, these results 401 highlight how group data may lead researchers/clinicians to underestimate important 402 differences between individuals, potentially resulting in the incorrect conclusion that403 individuals use similar activation strategies.

404 Importantly, the aforementioned differences between individuals in muscle activation can 405 only be considered as evidence of individual-specific strategies if these strategies persist over 406 time (11). Our findings showed an overall good between-day reliability of muscle activation 407 ratios, which was reflective of robust activation strategies. In addition, the vast majority of 408 studies have reported EMG values from one leg under the basic assumption that muscle 409 activations are similar for both legs. Ounpuu & Winter (29) did not confirm this hypothesis 410 for multiple muscles during gait and concluded that pooled subject data may conceal bilateral 411 differences. In contrast, during a submaximal isometric contraction with fewer degrees of 412 freedom, we observed large significant correlations of the activation ratios between legs (0.55)413 < r < 0.75). Although these correlations do not mean that activation strategies are strictly the 414 same, they confirm that these strategies are consistent between legs, which further supports 415 the hypothesized existence of individual muscle coordination signatures (15). Importantly, the 416 functional consequences of such individual differences in activation strategy require that the 417 muscle torque-generating capacity and its interplay with activation be taken into account.

- 418
- 419

4.2. <u>Muscle torque-generating capacity and its relationship with activation</u>

To date, hamstring PCSA has been measured mainly in cadavers because of technical limitations related to the measurement of muscle fascicle length *in vivo*, especially for muscles with complex fiber arrangement such as the hamstrings (18, 42, 44). Taking advantage of panoramic ultrasound, we estimated the distribution of PCSA and reported large differences between muscles; the PCSA of BF and SM was similar, while that of ST was consistently smaller (Table 3). Our values concur with those reported from cadavers (12.1%, 46.5% and 41.4% for ST/Hams, SM/Hams, BF/Hams, respectively (42)). These differences in force-generating capacity can be either compensated or exacerbated at the joint level by different moment arms. Although ST exhibited a longer moment arm $(5.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ cm})$ than SM $(4.8 \pm 0.5 \text{ cm})$ and BF $(4.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ cm})$, the longer moment arm did not completely compensate for its lower torque-generating capacity. Our results highlighted a large variability in torque and force-generating capacity between muscle heads. Importantly, the magnitude of these differences varies greatly between participants.

433 Previous studies have shown that the activation a muscle receives and its mechanical 434 properties are coupled (12, 14). For instance, Hug et al. (14) showed that the greater the PCSA of VL compared with VM, the stronger the bias of the activation toward VL. 435 436 Inevitably, this induces a large imbalance of force between the synergist muscles crossing the same joint. In this study, we observed neither positive nor negative correlations between the 437 438 PCSA and the EMG ratio or between the torque-generating capacity and the EMG ratio. Why 439 our results are different from previous results (14) is unclear. It might be explained by 440 different functional roles of the muscles crossing the knee joint, with the vastii mainly 441 generating torque and the hamstring mainly controlling the direction of the torque (40). It is 442 also possible that the functional consequences of such a coupling would have negative consequences for the non-muscular structures that surround the hamstring muscles. Indeed, 443 444 the difference in force-generating capacity between VL and VM (for which a coupling was observed) is less than what we observed herein between the heads of the hamstring. As such, 445 446 a positive correlation between torque-generating capacity and activation of the hamstring 447 would have induced a much larger imbalance of torque than that observed for the vasti. 448 However, it is important to note that, even though this positive correlation was not observed, 449 neither was a negative correlation observed, meaning that the differences in torque-generating 450 capacity were not counterbalanced by opposite differences in activation. This has led to a 451 wide range of individual torque-sharing strategies.

452

453 4.3. Individual-specific torque-sharing strategies

454 The muscle heads displayed differences in torque contribution, e.g., BF/Hams, SM/Hams and 455 ST/Hams accounted for $37.9 \pm 10.1\%$ (range: 20.2-54.8%), $43.9 \pm 9.8\%$ (range: 28.3-63.7%) and $18.3 \pm 6.7\%$ (range: 9.1–39.9%) of the torque-generating capacity, respectively (Fig. 3A). 456 457 Interestingly, comparable ratios of force (without considering the moment arm) were 458 estimated by a musculoskeletal model during the stance phase of high speed running (6). 459 Beyond the between-muscle differences, we believe that the description of the individual-460 specific torque-sharing strategies is the main result of this study (Fig. 3A). For example, the 461 torque produced by BF and ST in participant #1 accounted for 54.8% and 16.8% of the total 462 torque required to reach the target of 20% of the MVC torque. To complete the same task, 463 participant #23 generated 24.2% of the total torque with BF and 39.9% with ST. These 464 individual differences resulted from interindividual variability of the distribution of both 465 activation and torque-generating capacity. Even though interindividual variability of muscle 466 activation is logically larger at relatively low contraction intensities (14), as studied here, the 467 absolute between-muscle differences in torque would be greater at higher intensities, despite a 468 more balanced activation.

469 The interindividual variability of muscle coordination strategies may support the idea that 470 individuals can perform the task with a range of good-enough strategies (23), rather than 471 requiring an iterative optimization to a specific strategy. In short, following a trial-and-error 472 process, nervous system saves successful programs that can be recalled when facing a similar 473 task (23). Thus, the contraction history may influence both the number of motor programs 474 available to complete the task and the efficiency of those programs (32). Such individual differences might have functional consequences for the musculoskeletal system (15) and 475 might affect motor performance (34, 35). 476

477

478 4.4. <u>Distribution of muscle activation influences muscle performance</u>

479 The observed individual differences in the distribution of muscle activation might have 480 important functional consequences with some strategies being less effective. For example, if 481 one muscle is activated to a greater extent than required by the task, which is inevitably the 482 case when activation among the synergists is imbalanced, its metabolic demand would be 483 higher and, therefore, fatigue would develop sooner (39). Fatigue models predict that the task 484 is interrupted, or force is decreased, to prevent physiological failure (26, 28). Therefore, it is possible that the first muscle that reaches this "threshold" would limit the overall 485 486 performance. In this way, Prilutsky and Zatsiorsky (31) suggested that fatigue may be 487 minimized if stress is more evenly distributed among muscles. Our results support the 488 aforementioned hypothesis. To begin, although it is difficult to interpret the change in EMG 489 amplitude as a change in activation during a fatiguing task (8, 13), the ratios of EMG 490 amplitudes did not vary during the fatiguing task, allowing us to assume that the activation 491 strategies persisted throughout the task. It was important to test this (albeit indirectly), 492 because a change in activation strategy with fatigue had already been reported in some studies (2, 17), but not in others (33). Second, we estimated the imbalance of activation among the 493 494 muscle heads by calculating the standard deviation of the EMG amplitude. Note that we 495 found a positive correlation between the magnitude of the standard deviation and the highest 496 EMG amplitude value among muscle heads (r = 0.50 [P = 0.002] and r = 0.60 [P < 0.001] for 497 the dominant leg and the non-dominant leg, respectively). This confirmed our assumption that 498 the more imbalanced the activation between the muscle heads, the higher the activation of the 499 most activated muscle. Third, and more importantly, we observed a strong (dominant leg) or 500 moderate (non-dominant leg) negative correlation between the standard deviation values and the time to exhaustion (Fig. 4). This indicates that the larger the difference in activation 501

502 between the muscle heads, the shorter the time to exhaustion. Although the finding that 503 muscle activation strategies influence endurance performance is novel, one should keep in 504 mind that other factors, such as muscle architecture (3), muscle typology and training level 505 (4), are known to affect endurance performance. This multifactorial origin of endurance performance may explain why the coefficients of correlation are not higher. Even so, we 506 507 believe that our results support the concept that individual coordination strategies may have 508 functional consequences, such as an effect on motor performance. To the best of our 509 knowledge, this is a novel finding. It has potential importance for hamstring strain injuries, 510 which have been argued to be related to fatigability and the associated compensation between 511 synergists (34, 35).

512

513 4.5. <u>Methodological considerations</u>

514 Some methodological considerations should be kept in mind when interpreting the present 515 data. First, we used surface EMG amplitude as a surrogate for muscle activation. Therefore, it 516 is important to consider the factors that could influence the relationship between EMG 517 amplitude and muscle activation. Among these factors, we believe that crosstalk and the 518 normalization procedure are the most important. Although EMG signals from the hamstring 519 might be affected by crosstalk, we systematically used B-mode ultrasound to place the 520 electrodes over the muscle of interest, away from the borders where signal contamination is 521 strongest. This procedure allowed us to distinguish between the SM and ST muscles, which 522 are difficult to differentiate using palpation (10). It is important to note that if we run the same 523 analysis considering only the medial (MH, i.e. SM + ST) and lateral hamstrings (LH, i.e. BF), 524 there is still no correlation between LH/(LH+MH) activation ratio and LH/(LH+MH) torquegenerating capacity ratio. Taken together with the consistency of the EMG data between days 525 526 and between legs, we are confident that crosstalk did not interfere with our main conclusions.

Also, the accuracy of between-muscle and between-participant comparisons lies in the truly maximal voluntary activation during the maximal contraction used to normalize EMG amplitude. Using the twitch interpolation method (38), previous studies have reported that young healthy participants (similar to those in our experiment) are able to achieve nearcomplete activation of their hamstrings (97.1 \pm 2.2% (24) and 98.7 \pm 1.3% (20)). Therefore, the EMG amplitude measured during maximal contractions likely represents the true maximal muscle activation.

534 Second, we estimated muscle torque-generating capacity from PCSA and the moment arm 535 measured at rest. Even though fascicles shorten during contraction, therefore affecting PCSA 536 (27), previous works demonstrated that PCSA measured at rest is as strongly related with 537 muscle strength as PCSA measured during MVC (25). It is also possible that muscle 538 contraction induced slight changes in both the line of action and the joint center of rotation, 539 leading to modification of muscle moment arm. To the best of our knowledge, such changes 540 have not been reported. Although it is possible that such alterations may occur, their 541 magnitude would have remained low during such a low intensity isometric contraction, i.e. 542 20% MVC.

543 Finally, although we considered two important mechanical factors (i.e., PCSA and moment 544 arm) that influence the torque-generating capacity during such an isometric task, we did not 545 consider either the specific tension or the individual muscle force-length relationship. 546 However, to date, no experimental technique is available to measure these mechanical factors 547 accurately. In addition, specific tension varies only marginally between muscles with similar 548 fiber type composition (30, 43), especially at low contraction intensities during which slow 549 fibers are recruited preferentially. Therefore, we believe that considering specific tension 550 would not have affected the between-muscle differences in torque production. In addition, 551 estimating the between-muscles differences in torque-generating capacity during an isometric task should take into account possible between-muscles differences in the shape of the forcelength relationship. Although such a relationship cannot be determined for each individual muscle head, the fascicle lengths we measured near the optimal angle were similar to the optimal length previously reported from cadaver preparations (e.g. 10.7 ± 1.4 cm vs. $11.0 \pm$ 2.1 cm for BFsh in Ward (42)). Therefore, it is reasonable to consider that each of the three heads did operated at a similar relative length.

558

559 5. CONCLUSIONS

560 Both the distribution of activation and the distribution of torque-generating capacity varied 561 greatly between individuals. In the absence of a negative coupling between activation and torque-generating capacity, a wide range of torque-sharing strategies was observed. To the 562 best of our knowledge, this is the first study to show a relationship between individual-563 564 specific activation strategies and performance during an endurance task. Overall, this study 565 provides new insight into the coordination between the heads of the hamstring during 566 submaximal contractions. The observed variability between individuals provide a basis from 567 which to consider muscle coordination strategies as an intrinsic risk factor for hamstring 568 strain injury.

569

570 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

571 The authors thank the technicians from the lab Imagerie par Résonance Magnétique Médicale

572 et Multi-modalités (IR4M-UMR8081), for their assistance with MRI data collection.

573

574 **GRANTS**:

575 S. Avrillon was supported by a scholarship funded by the French Ministry of Research. F.

576 Hug was supported by a fellowship from the Institut Universitaire de France (IUF).

577

578 **DISCLOSURES:**

- 579 No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the authors. Authors declare
- that they have no conflicts of interest relevant to the content of this original research article.

581 TABLES:

582

Table 1. RMS EMG amplitude of the biceps femoris (BF) semimembranosus (SM) and
semitendinosus (ST) muscles during the submaximal isometric knee extension task. ICC,
intra-class coefficient correlation; TE, typical error. Values are means ± SD.

586

	D	OMINANT LE	G	NON	N-DOMINANT	MAIN EFFECT			
	BF (% max)	SM (% max)	ST (% max)	BF (% max)	SM (% max)	ST (% max)	Leg	Muscle	Interaction
DAY 1	13.0 ± 4.4	14.6 ± 4.7	11.8 ± 5.4	12.7 ± 3.3	14.1 ± 4.1	12.2 ± 3.6			
DAY 2	13.2 ± 4.8	15.0 ± 4.8	12.8 ± 5.5	11.8 ± 3.8	14.6 ± 4.7	11.4 ± 5.3			
AVERAGE	13.1 ± 4.3	14.8 ± 4.4^{a}	$12.3\pm5.2^{\text{b}}$	12.2 ± 3.0	14.3 ± 3.9^{a}	$11.8\pm3.9^{\text{b}}$	P = 0.34	P = 0.036	P = 0.83
ICC	0.74	0.74	0.85	0.45	0.59	0.51			
TE	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.7	2.9	3.2			

587 Superscript letters denote significant differences with: ^aST, ^bSM.

588

589 Table 2. Activation ratios between the heads of the hamstring muscle group. ICC, intra-class

590 coefficient correlation; TE, typical error. Values are means \pm SD.

591

		DOMINANT LEG		NON-DOMINANT LEG					
	BF/Hams (%)	SM/Hams (%)	ST/Hams (%)	BF/Hams (%)	SM/Hams (%)	ST/Hams (%)			
Day 1	33.4 ± 8.0	37.3 ± 7.8	29.3 ± 7.4	32.6 ± 7.7	36.2 ± 8.7	31.1 ± 7.0			
Day 2	32.7 ± 8.6	36.8 ± 7.4	30.5 ± 7.6	31.9 ± 9.2	38.8 ± 8.2	29.3 ± 9.6			
ICC	0.77	0.88	0.68	0.72	0.75	0.57			
TE	4.1	2.7	4.4	4.6	4.4	5.6			

592

593

Table 3. Morphological and architectural data for the biceps femoris long head (BFlh), short

595 head (BFsh), semimembranosus (SM) and semitendinosus (ST) muscles. fl,

596 fascicle length; PA, pennation angle; PCSA, physiological cross-sectional area; TGC, torque-

597 generating capacity. Values are means \pm SD.

598	3
-----	---

DOMINANT LEG						NON-DOMINANT LEG				MAIN EFFECT		
	BFsh	BFlh	SM	ST	BFsh	BFlh	SM	ST	Leg	Muscle	Interaction	
VOLUME	86.3 ±	184.6 ±	207.5 ±	173.4 ±	85.2 ±	$179.2 \pm 47.6^{\circ}$	207.7 ±	$178.0 \pm$	P =	P <	P = 0.24	
(CM ³)	37.6 ^{bcd}	41.6 ^{ac}	56.7 ^{abd}	67.3 ^{ac}	34.7 ^{bcd}	$1/8.2 \pm 4/.0$	53.7 ^{abd}	67.5 °	0.71	0.001	P = 0.24	
FL (CM)	10.7 ±	12.1 ±	$8.9 \pm$	$17.2 \pm$	$10.8 \; \pm$	$11.9 \pm 1.6^{\rm d}$	$9.0 \pm$	17.1 \pm	$\mathbf{P} =$	P <	P = 0.86	
FL (CM)	1.4 ^d	1.7 ^{cd}	1.4 ^{bd}	4.4 ^{abc}	1.2 ^d		1.2 ^{bd}	3.9 ^{abc}	0.80	0.001		
PA (°)	12.4 ±	9.0 ±	$10.7 \pm$		$11.9 \pm$	$9.3\pm1.9^{\rm ac}$	$11.1 \pm$		$\mathbf{P} =$	P <	P = 0.42	
IA ()	2.4 ^{bc}	1.6 ^{ac}	2.0 ^{ab}		2.8 ^{bc}		1.8^{ab}		0.89	0.001		
$PCSA$ (CM^2)	7.9 ±	$15.2 \pm$	$23.4 \pm$	$10.2 \pm$	$7.8 \pm$	15.0 ± 4.1^{acd}	$22.9~\pm$	$10.7 \pm$	$\mathbf{P} =$	P <	P = 0.69	
	3.3 ^{bcd}	3.6 ^{acd}	7.6 ^{abd}	3.9 ^{abc}	3.1 ^{bcd}		6.0^{abd}	3.8 ^{abc}	0.74	0.001	1 = 0.07	
MOMENT	4.6 ± 0.4^{bc}		$4.8 \pm$	5.7 ±	1	1.5 ± 0.4^{bc}	$4.8 \pm$	$5.8 \pm$	$\mathbf{P} =$	P <	P = 0.19	
ARM (CM)			0.5 ^{ac}	0.7 ^{ab}	ч.	5 ± 0.4	0.4 ^{ac}	0.6 ^{ab}	0.36	0.001	1 = 0.17	
TGC	107.3	$107.3 + 34.8^{\circ}$	$113.3 \pm$	$60.2 \pm$	104	$15 + 341^{\circ}$	110.1 \pm	$63.2 \pm$	$\mathbf{P} =$	P <	P = 0.50	
100	107.5	_ 5 1.5	44.7 ^c	27.9 ^{ab}	10-		33.0 ^c	26.3 ^{ab}	0.73	0.001	1 = 0.50	

599 Letters in superscript denotes significant differences with: ^aBFsh, ^bBFlh, ^cSM, ^dST.

601

Fig. 1. Individual example of estimating the torque-generating capacity from MRI and 602 603 images. A. Muscle volume reconstruction of semitendinosus ultrasound (ST). 604 semimembranosus (SM) biceps femoris long head (BFlh) and short head (BFsh). B. 605 Reconstruction of musculotendon path after manual segmentation to measure the moment arm 606 (i.e., the shortest distance between the joint center and the musculotendon path). C. Panoramic 607 US image of SM to determine fascicle length. Muscle fascicles were imaged through a 608 longitudinal scan performed in the fascicle's plane. Particular care was taken to measure fully 609 visible fascicles in a region of interest including clear aponeuroses.

610

Fig. 2. Box charts depicting mean values (line) ± one standard deviation (box) and individual
values (scatters) of EMG amplitude (A) and torque-generating capacity (B). EMG amplitude
referred to normalized EMG RMS values. Group distribution is given for the ratio of muscle
activation (A) and the ratio of torque-generating capacity (B).

615

Fig. 3. (A) Group distribution of the torque index ratio. The correlations for BF, SM and ST
(B) indicate that torque-sharing strategies are similar between legs. The solid line represents
equal torque generation between legs.

619

Fig. 4. Correlations between the imbalance of muscle activation and the time to task failure.
The imbalance of activation was assessed through the standard deviation of normalized RMS
EMG across the muscle heads. These correlations indicate that the larger the imbalance in
muscle activation among synergists, the sooner failure occurs in the endurance task. Note that

- 624 the coefficient of correlation was even higher when the two participants who exhibited the
- 625 longest times to exhaustion are not considered.

626

627 REFERENCES

Adkins AN, Franks PW, and Murray WM. Demonstration of extended field-ofview ultrasound's potential to increase the pool of muscles for which in vivo fascicle length is
measurable. *J Biomech* 2017.

Akima H, Foley JM, Prior BM, Dudley GA, and Meyer RA. Vastus lateralis
fatigue alters recruitment of musculus quadriceps femoris in humans. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*92: 679-684, 2002.

- Biewener AA. Locomotion as an emergent property of muscle contractile dynamics. J
 Exp Biol 219: 285-294, 2016.
- 636 4. Cairns SP, Knicker AJ, Thompson MW, and Sjogaard G. Evaluation of models
 637 used to study neuromuscular fatigue. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 33: 9-16, 2005.
- 638 5. Cappozzo A, Catani F, Della Croce U, and Leardini A. Position and orientation in
 639 space of bones during movement: anatomical frame definition and determination. *Clin*640 *Biomech* 10: 171-178, 1995.
- 641 6. Chumanov ES, Heiderscheit BC, and Thelen DG. Hamstring musculotendon
 642 dynamics during stance and swing phases of high-speed running. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 43:
 643 525-532, 2011.
- 644 7. Cohen J. Differences between Correlation Coefficients. In: *Statistical Power Analysis* 645 *for the Behavioral Sciences (Revised Edition)*Academic Press, 1977, p. 109-143.
- 646 8. Farina D, Merletti R, and Stegeman DF. Biophysics of the Generation of EMG
 647 Signals. In: *Electromyography*John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2005, p. 81-105.
- 648 9. Garrett WE, Jr., Califf JC, and Bassett FH, 3rd. Histochemical correlates of
 649 hamstring injuries. *Am J Sports Med* 12: 98-103, 1984.
- Hermens HJ, Freriks K, Disselhorst-Klug C, and Rau G. Development of
 recommendations for SEMG sensors and sensor placement procedures. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2000.
- Horst F, Mildner M, and Schollhorn WI. One-year persistence of individual gait
 patterns identified in a follow-up study A call for individualised diagnose and therapy. *Gait Posture* 58: 476-480, 2017.
- Hudson AL, Taylor JL, Gandevia SC, and Butler JE. Coupling between
 mechanical and neural behaviour in the human first dorsal interosseous muscle. *J Physiol* 587:
 917-925, 2009.
- Hug F. Can muscle coordination be precisely studied by surface electromyography? J
 Electromyogr Kinesiol 21: 1-12, 2011.
- Hug F, Goupille C, Baum D, Raiteri BJ, Hodges PW, and Tucker K. Nature of the
 coupling between neural drive and force-generating capacity in the human quadriceps muscle. *Proc Biol Sci* 282: 2015.
- 664 15. Hug F, and Tucker K. Muscle Coordination and the Development of
 665 Musculoskeletal Disorders. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 2017.
- Hunter SK, Duchateau J, and Enoka RM. Muscle Fatigue and the Mechanisms of
 Task Failure. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2004.
- Hunter SK, and Enoka RM. Changes in muscle activation can prolong the
 endurance time of a submaximal isometric contraction in humans. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 94:
 108-118, 2003.
- Kellis E, Galanis N, Natsis K, and Kapetanos G. Muscle architecture variations
 along the human semitendinosus and biceps femoris (long head) length. J Electromyogr
- 673 *Kinesiol* 20: 1237-1243, 2010.

Kilgallon M, Donnelly AE, and Shafat A. Progressive resistance training
temporarily alters hamstring torque-angle relationship. *Scan J Med Sci Sports* 17: 18-24,
2007.

677 20. Kirk EA, and Rice CL. Contractile function and motor unit firing rates of the human
678 hamstrings. *J Neurophysiol* 117: 243-250, 2017.

Lacourpaille L, Nordez A, and Hug F. The nervous system does not compensate for
an acute change in the balance of passive force between synergist muscles. *J Exp Biol* 2017.

681 22. Lieber RL, and Ward SR. Skeletal muscle design to meet functional demands.
682 *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 366: 1466-1476, 2011.

683 23. Loeb GE. Optimal isn't good enough. *Biol Cybern* 106: 757-765, 2012.

- 684 24. Marshall PW, Lovell R, Jeppesen GK, Andersen K, and Siegler JC. Hamstring
 685 muscle fatigue and central motor output during a simulated soccer match. *PLoS One* 9:
 686 e102753, 2014.
- 687 25. Massey G, Evangelidis P, and Folland J. Influence of contractile force on the 688 architecture and morphology of the quadriceps femoris. *Exp Physiol* 100: 1342-1351, 2015.
- 689 26. **Millet GY**. Can neuromuscular fatigue explain running strategies and performance in ultra-marathons?: the flush model. *Sports Med* 41: 489-506, 2011.
- 691 27. Narici MV, Binzoni T, Hiltbrand E, Fasel J, Terrier F, and Cerretelli P. In vivo
 692 human gastrocnemius architecture with changing joint angle at rest and during graded
 693 isometric contraction. *J Physiol* 496 (Pt 1): 287-297, 1996.
- 694 28. Noakes TD, and St Clair Gibson A. Logical limitations to the "catastrophe" models
 695 of fatigue during exercise in humans. *Br J Sports Med* 38: 648-649, 2004.
- 696 29. Ounpuu S, and Winter DA. Bilateral electromyographical analysis of the lower
 697 limbs during walking in normal adults. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 72: 429-438,
 698 1989.
- 699 30. Powell PL, Roy RR, Kanim P, Bello MA, and Edgerton VR. Predictability of
 700 skeletal muscle tension from architectural determinations in guinea pig hindlimbs. *J Appl*701 *Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol.* 57: 1715-1721, 1984.
- 702 31. Prilutsky BI, and Zatsiorsky VM. Optimization-based models of muscle
 703 coordination. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 30: 32-38, 2002.
- Ranganathan R, Wieser J, Mosier KM, Mussa-Ivaldi FA, and Scheidt RA.
 Learning redundant motor tasks with and without overlapping dimensions: facilitation and interference effects. *J Neurosci* 34: 8289-8299, 2014.
- Rudroff T, Christou EA, Poston B, Bojsen-Moller J, and Enoka RM. Time to
 failure of a sustained contraction is predicted by target torque and initial electromyographic
 bursts in elbow flexor muscles. *Muscle Nerve* 35: 657-666, 2007.
- 34. Schuermans J, Van Tiggelen D, Danneels L, and Witvrouw E. Biceps femoris and
 semitendinosus--teammates or competitors? New insights into hamstring injury mechanisms
 in male football players: a muscle functional MRI study. *Br J Sports Med* 48: 1599-1606,
 2014.
- Schuermans J, Van Tiggelen D, Danneels L, and Witvrouw E. Susceptibility to
 Hamstring Injuries in Soccer: A Prospective Study Using Muscle Functional Magnetic
 Resonance Imaging. *Am J Sports Med* 2016.
- 36. Seymore KD, Domire ZJ, DeVita P, Rider PM, and Kulas AS. The effect of Nordic
 hamstring strength training on muscle architecture, stiffness, and strength. *Eur J Appl Physiol*117: 943-953, 2017.
- 37. Spoor CW, and van Leeuwen JL. Knee muscle moment arms from MRI and from
 tendon travel. *J Biomech* 25: 201-206, 1992.

- 722 38. Todd G, Taylor JL, and Gandevia SC. Reproducible measurement of voluntary
 723 activation of human elbow flexors with motor cortical stimulation. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 97:
 724 236-242, 2004.
- 725 39. Tsianos GA, Rustin C, and Loeb GE. Mammalian muscle model for predicting force
 726 and energetics during physiological behaviors. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 20: 117727 133, 2012.
- 728 40. van Ingen Schenau GJ, Dorssers WM, Welter TG, Beelen A, de Groot G, and
- Jacobs R. The control of mono-articular muscles in multijoint leg extensions in man. J *Physiol* 484 (Pt 1): 247-254, 1995.
- Visser JJ, Hoogkamer JE, Bobbert MF, and Huijing PA. Length and moment arm
 of human leg muscles as a function of knee and hip-joint angles. *Eur j appl physiol occup physiol* 61: 453-460, 1990.
- Ward SR, Eng CM, Smallwood LH, and Lieber RL. Are current measurements of
 lower extremity muscle architecture accurate? *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 467: 1074-1082, 2009.
- Wickiewicz TL, Roy RR, Powell PL, and Edgerton VR. Muscle Architecture of the
 Human Lower Limb. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 179: 275???283, 1983.
- 738 44. Woodley SJ, and Mercer SR. Hamstring muscles: architecture and innervation. Cells
- 739 *Tissues Organs* 179: 125-141, 2005.

740



5 cm





