

"Live High-Train Low" Paradigm: Moving the Debate Forward

Franck Brocherie, Grégoire P Millet

▶ To cite this version:

HAL Id: hal-02544975 https://insep.hal.science//hal-02544975

Submitted on 16 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews

"Live high-train low" paradigm: Moving the debate forward --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Article Type:	Letter to the Editor
Corresponding Author:	Franck Brocherie, PhD Institute of Sport Sciences - ISSUL, University of Lausanne Lausanne, SWITZERLAND
Order of Authors:	Franck Brocherie, PhD
	Gregoire P Millet, PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Full Title:	"Live high-train low" paradigm: Moving the debate forward
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
RETAINED RIGHTS: Except for copyright, other proprietary rights related to the Work (e.g., patent or other rights to any process or procedure) shall be retained by the author. To reproduce any text, figures, tables, or illustrations from this Work in future works of their own, the author must obtain written permission from Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. ("WKH").	I agree
ORIGINALITY: Each author warrants that his or her submission to the Work is original, does not infringe upon, violate, or misappropriate any copyright or other intellectual property rights, or any other proprietary right, contract or other right or interest of any third party, and that he or she has full power to enter into this agreement. Neither this Work nor a similar work has been published nor shall be submitted for publication elsewhere while under consideration by this Publication.	
AUTHORSHIP RESPONSIBILITY: Each author warrants that he or she has participated sufficiently in the intellectual content, the analysis of data, if applicable, and the writing of the Work to take public responsibility for it. Each has reviewed the final version of the Work, believes it represents valid work, and approves it for publication. Moreover, should the editors of the Publication request the data upon which the work is based, they shall	

Letter to the Editor-in-Chief

"Live high-train low" paradigm: Moving the debate forward.

F. Brocherie¹, G.P Millet²

¹ Laboratory Sport, Expertise and Performance (EA 7370), Research Department, French Institute of Sport (INSEP), 11 Avenue du Tremblay, Paris, France.

² Institute of Sport Sciences (ISSUL), University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.

Dear Editor-in-Chief,

The recent article by Bejder and Nordsborg [1] on the weakness of scientific evidences supporting that hypobaric or normobaric "live high-train low" (LHTL) method improves sport-specific exercise performance has the merit of recalling the importance of careful methodological design [7] for future altitude-related research. While we agree that double blinding, inclusion of a normoxic control group, implementation of a lead-in period, adequate sample size and statistical power are paramount to minimize the potential biases (e.g., placebo or nocebo effect, training content or trainability influence), several comments would merit consideration.

First, the choice of the articles inserted in a systematic review or a meta-analysis is paramount since the main purpose is to draw valid conclusion only from a comprehensive data set and not from a "cherry-picked" one. Two of the three self-called "well-controlled conducted normobaric LHTL studies" supporting the view of the authors [1] should be interpreted with caution as latent drawbacks have been previously pinpointed [4,5,8]. The common limit between these studies relies on inadequate training prescription in the LHTL groups which may have participated to physical and/or mental fatigue development (with possible expansion to overreaching/overtraining), thereby hampering time-trial performance.

Second, limiting the analysis on time trials only is a somewhat reductionist approach as several "performance" parameter (e.g., VO_{2max} , time to exhaustion, economy/efficiency) have been shown to positively respond (i.e., ~1-4% which is markedly greater than the ~0.5% smallest worthwhile change for endurance time) to LHTL training, thereby indicating a likely time trial performance improvement (e.g., multiplying time to exhaustion results by a factor of 1/15) [2]. In this view, the quality and quantity of published data over the past 50 years also support the benefits of LHTL to enhance (elite) athletes' sea level endurance performance. Thus, the discussion remains open.

Third, since the recent debate about the existence of different physiological responses between hypobaric (HH) and normobaric hypoxia (NH), it has been argued that sleeping in HH during LHTL would induce greater performance gains than sleeping in NH [2]. However, the latest LHTL-related studies seem to indicate that sleeping pattern is more altered in HH vs. NH [9], while evoking a similar mean increase in hemoglobin mass [6]. This merits further focus on the topic.

In conclusion, should we spend our time and money on LHTL? Since altitude training is commonly used by elite endurance athletes (e.g., even in Norwegian cross-country skiers, altitude training is for long an important component of training), it is important that the scientific community provides a clear message on the effectiveness of the different hypoxic methods. Despite the above-mentioned concerns, the conclusions from Bedjer and Nordsborg [1] that challenge previous reviews (see Millet et al. [8]) supporting the ergogenic effect of LHTL are of interest and should be viewed as a stimulator for better altitude-related research. Of course, negative results studies are important and contribute to the body of knowledge that nourishes the debate. However, based on the new advancements in hypoxic training, it is time for investigating the combination of different hypoxic methods such as "live high-train low and high" to optimize athletes performance development [3]. Beyond the scientific debate, we do not forget that we have to help elite sport stakeholders to make evidence-based decisions.

References

- 1. Bejder J, Nordsborg NB. Specificity of "Live High-Train Low" Altitude Training on Exercise Performance. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2018; 46: 129-136
- 2. Bonetti DL, Hopkins WG. Sea-level exercise performance following adaptation to hypoxia: a meta-analysis. Sports Med 2009; 39: 107-127
- 3. Brocherie F, Millet GP, Hauser A, Steiner T, Rysman J, Wehrlin JP, Girard O. "Live High-Train Low and High" hypoxic training improves team-sport performance. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2015; 47: 2140-2149
- 4. Brocherie F, Schmitt L, Millet GP. Hypoxic dose, intensity distribution, and fatigue monitoring are paramount for "live high-train low" effectiveness. Eur J Appl Physiol 2017; 117: 2119-2120
- 5. Garvican LA, Saunders PU, Pyne DB, Martin DT, Robertson EY, Gore CJ. Hemoglobin mass response to simulated hypoxia "blinded" by noisy measurement? J Appl Physiol (1985) 2012; 112: 1797-1798; author reply 1799
- 6. Hauser A, Troesch S, Saugy JJ, Schmitt L, Cejuela-Anta R, Faiss R, Steiner T, Robinson N, Millet GP, Wehrlin JP. Individual hemoglobin mass response to normobaric and hypobaric "live high-train low": A one-year crossover study. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2017; 123: 387-393
- 7. Lundby C, Millet GP, Calbet JA, Bartsch P, Subudhi AW. Does 'altitude training' increase exercise performance in elite athletes? Br J Sports Med 2012; 46: 792-795
- 8. Millet GP, Chapman RF, Girard O, Brocherie F. Is live high-train low altitude training relevant for elite athletes? Flawed analysis from inaccurate data. Br J Sports Med 2017, DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2017-098083:
- 9. Saugy JJ, Schmitt L, Fallet S, Faiss R, Vesin JM, Bertschi M, Heinzer R, Millet GP. Sleep Disordered Breathing During Live High-Train Low in Normobaric Versus Hypobaric Hypoxia. High Alt Med Biol 2016; 17: 233-238